Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/685,525	BAUMANN ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Ana L. Woodward	1711	
All Participants:	Participants: Status of Application:		
(1) <u>Ana L. Woodward</u> .	(3)		
(2) Mr. Harris A. Pitlick.	(4)		
Date of Interview: 3 July 2006	Time:		
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:			
Part I.			
Rejection(s) discussed:			
110,000.0(0) 0.000.000.			
Claims discussed: 23			
Prior art documents discussed:			
Part II.			
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet			
Part III.			
 □ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. □ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 			
. /			
Al /			
(Examiner/8PE Signature) (Applicant/	Applicant's Representative Signature	gnature – if appropriate)	

Application No. 10/685,525

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Upon further reconsideration, it appeared that claim 23 was still rejectable over Mumcu et al given that the instant specification defines a sufficient amount of titanium dioxide to reduce the thermal sensitivity as being an amount of 0.01-30% by weight. In the reference, the titanium dioxide pigment is used in an amount of 1 to 30% by weight.